

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/019,353	04/25/2002	John Alfred Wilkinson	B0192/7033	1376		
23628 75	590 09/02/2003					
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMI	EXAMINER .		
			LEVY, NEIL S			
BOSTON, MA	02210-2211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1616	5		
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003	/		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10 10	100 No.	Applicant(s)	KINOD,	$\mathcal{O}$
Office Action Summary	Examin	De 4	y	Group Art Unit	9
-The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet l	peneath the c	orrespondence a	ddress
Period for Reply		$\supset$			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, be a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the</li></ul>	days, a reply within th	e statutory minir (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) m the mailing da	days will be consider te of this communicat	red timely.
Status	1003				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1103				
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	7		•		-
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>				the merits is clo	<b>sed</b> in
Disp sition of Claims	•				
Claim(s)	32-46	, 48-)	2 is/are	pending in the app	olication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•	noideration.
Claim(s) 1-12 19 \$ 23		is/are allowed.			
G-Claim(s)	,		is/are	rejected.	
$\Box \text{ Claim(s)} \qquad / 2 / 2 / 2 - 3 / 2 $	is/are	is/are objected to.			
S Claim(s)	16 76, 10	-/2		bject to restriction ement.	or election
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent			<b>-</b> "		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			⊔ disapprove	d.	
<ul><li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a</li><li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>	re objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	miner				
The ball of decidation is objected to by the Exam	miner.				
B. J. J					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p  All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED co  received.					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign post All Some* None of the CERTIFIED co	opies of the priority	documents h	ave been		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign post All Some* None of the CERTIFIED conference.  Treceived.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Seria	opies of the priority  Il Number)	documents h	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		·
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign partial Company of the CERTIFIED compared in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from	opies of the priority  Il Number)	documents h	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign posterior.  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED conference.  received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	opies of the priority  al Number)  the International	documents h	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign partial Company of the CERTIFIED company of the CE	opies of the priority  al Number)  the International	documents h	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	mary, PTO-413	tion, PTO-15

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, species salvia, Human, Head Lice, gel in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claims 10, 20-30, 32, 34-46, 48-72 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8, 12, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Preferably-" is indefinite; please amend; make dependent, maybe. It is unclear what is diluted in 12; the salvia or the composition; and if the latter, is it still a gel. We don't know what "associated" means in context of the intended claim limitations.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-5, 9, 12, 13, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scesa et al WO 97/17944.

See example 4: Salvia extract, essential oil, as a gel, with antipruritic agents, camomile, with hazel, and gel based on a jar (p.3, bottom, p.4 bottom) alginate at 0.1-5%, with water and up to 5% essential oils-glycolic extracts (p.5, 1). This is the instant composition, for human skin application; no patentable weight given to future intended use.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 19, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Eini et al EP 0495684.

Essential oil of Salvia, sage, at 0.01-50% shown effective to treat people with head lice (p.2, ¶ 3 and summary) in gel form, p.7, lines 29-31. <u>Lice Free Gel is shown</u> as 2% essential oil, 1% carbomer; 47% water; antipruritic agents are evident: methyl lactate, triethanolamin (p.7-results).

Citrus is also shown, as Limoneve, pineres, terpinese, found in citrus, are also effective. Thus, claim 11 would also be an obvious over Eini, as all of the formulations are preparable in various formulations, solutions, gels cream (p.2, lines 49-55).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-17, 19 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Wark</u> -GB 2341091 and Eini et al-EP 0495684 in view of coats 4178372.

Wark teaches Head Lice controllable on people by applying compositions of essential oils, salvia, citrus at 0.1-5% (p.5, 6). Gels are not specified.

<u>Eini</u> (above) also treats head lice, using any formulation, but also gel, of sage and citrus extracts and terpenoid components. Neither apply aloe vera. <u>Coats</u> does, showing how to provide a hypoallergenic gel of aloe, able to be applied even to face, without allergenic-thus, itching, sensation (col.2, summary).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize a plant treatment to use any of art recognized means, including the essential oil an extracts of Wark and Eini, in order to provide safe lice treatment, with the gel of coats, in order to reduce itching. The particular gel and formulation ingredients are all art recognized, and other than the advantageous hypoallergenic aloe, equivalents useful and within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to practice.

All the critical elements of the instant are disclosed. The amounts and proportions of each ingredient are result effective parameters chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the form of each ingredient to optimize the effect desired, depending upon the particular application method of interest, reduction of toxicity, cost minimization, enhanced, and prolonged, or synergistic effects.

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of criticality, nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients' or concentrations provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed, and the use of ingredient for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not basis for patentability.

The instant invention provides well known old art recognized compounds, with well known art recognized effects, applied by well known art recognized methods to achieve improved lice control as is well known in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday- Friday 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Levy/tgd August 29, 2003

NEIL S. LEVY
PRIMARY EXAMINER